PEOPLE & MASTER DATA

When Planners Become Orchestrators: Redefining Work in the Age of AI Supply Chains

Christian Kroschl · 21 October 2025

Article on kroschl.com · Read on LinkedIn

1. The paradox of progress

The first wave of AI is democratizing knowledge. What once required experience, networks, and intuition is now instantly accessible to anyone with a prompt. The "knowledge aristocracy" is fading — and with it, much of the justification for traditional hierarchies of planning expertise.

In response, many organizations are doubling down on **centralization and hubbing**. Planning roles are being consolidated in low-cost centers, justified by standardization and efficiency. Yet this move risks repeating history: when knowledge becomes ubiquitous, the differentiator shifts from **who knows** to **who orchestrates.**

2. What happens when AI calibrates the supply chain

What many call AI-native planning platforms are, in reality, still evolving concepts. Their trajectory is clear — toward systems that learn, calibrate, and orchestrate decisions autonomously. These systems will not only forecast — they will *calibrate*:

adjusting inventory and capacity levels, redefining planning parameters (lead times, stock settings, MoQs), interpreting market signals and master-data dependencies, and even simulating financial consequences.

A packaging change in pharma, for example, might trigger an AI agent to assess all downstream data impacts — from MRP settings to capacity buffers and contract commitments with CMOs. The machine can highlight what must change. But **who ensures the change makes sense?**

3. The new work: from planning to orchestration

The human planner doesn't disappear. The role evolves — from managing transactions to **orchestrating decisions** — that is, designing how different planning layers interact, synchronize, and learn from one another.

In tomorrow's Centers of Excellence, planners (or whatever we call them next) will lead **AI-assisted teams**:

Data agents check consistency across the network. **Model agents** configure planning logic to match service and lead-time targets. **Market agents** sense external shifts and suggest forecast adjustments.

The planner becomes the *conductor* of these agents — validating, contextualizing, and synchronizing across functions. Not "hands on the keyboard," but "hands on the architecture."

4. KPI-driven orchestration: from parameters to outcomes

AI may handle millions of parameters. Humans cannot. Planning therefore needs a new aggregation logic — one based on **KPIs as synthesizers**.

Just as a sound engineer shapes tone through a few master knobs, planners should steer outcomes through integrated metrics such as **service, cost, and capital**. Behind each KPI, AI continuously

tunes parameters. Planners set boundaries, weight trade-offs, and interpret deviations.

> *Planners shouldn't tune parameters — they should tune the synthesizer.*

AI-driven orchestration could continuously balance the service–cost–capital triangle, with planners defining acceptable KPI corridors rather than static targets. This is how planning becomes scalable again: not through more detail, but through smarter abstraction.

5. The myths of modern AI

AI will not remove the need for structure — it will expose where structure never existed.

See Figure 1 · page 4

> *AI can make sense of noise — but it can't make decisions from it.*

6. Processes, capabilities, technology — which must change most?

Before AI can plan, **humans must design the environment it learns from.**

Processes define how signals become actions — the rhythm of the supply chain. **Capabilities** decide whether people can interpret, train, and challenge AI outcomes. **Technology and data** provide the foundation, but without governance and semantics, intelligence becomes illusion.

Each dimension must evolve together. Otherwise, companies will centralize costs — not intelligence.

As AI begins to shape how planning operates, the distinction between process and technology collapses. Architecture becomes the new process — defining how decisions flow, how fast signals move, and how humans stay in the loop.

7. The technological layer – orchestration by architecture

AI will not replace existing planning systems; it will **sit on top of them** — just like a planner does today. The difference is **speed, integration, and latency**.

AI as the meta-planner AI agents will act across systems, not within one module. They will read from ERP, supply-planning, scheduling and MES layers, detect inconsistencies, and suggest recalibrations. Whether this works in milliseconds or in hours depends entirely on the **data architecture beneath it**.

Architecture Models – Strengths and Trade-offs

See Figure 2 · page 5

Architecture defines orchestration speed. A uniform data model doesn't just reduce interfaces — it defines the rhythm of learning.

Platform positioning (today's landscape - examples)

See Figure 3 · page 6

8. Definition – the Orchestrator

> ***Orchestrator (noun)*** *A supply-chain professional or AI agent responsible for aligning human judgment, system intelligence, and process rhythm across functions — ensuring that decisions made by autonomous systems remain coherent with business goals and constraints.*

Human orchestrators

design decision frameworks, KPI syntheses, and governance rules; interpret AI recommendations; coordinate across demand, supply, finance, and manufacturing.

Systemic orchestrators (AI agents)

execute cross-system calibration (data, parameters, scenarios); monitor KPI drift and trigger human validation; learn and adjust within guardrails.

Together, they form the **AI-enabled decision fabric** of the modern supply chain.

9. Why architecture will decide efficiency

Planning efficiency is no longer defined by solver speed, but by **latency between decision layers**. A planner — human or AI — is only as fast as the data he can see and trust. AI-native orchestration therefore depends less on algorithms than on **semantic integration**. The future differentiator will not be *who has the best algorithm*, but *who built the architecture that allows algorithms to learn together.*

> *AI will not reinvent planning from scratch; it will grow **on top of the architectures we have**. Those who align process, capability, and data models into a single orchestration fabric will gain exponential leverage. Those who don't will automate noise faster.*

10. The evolving role of the consultant

The same logic applies to consulting itself: as planning becomes orchestration, advisory work must evolve from knowledge delivery to system design.

When AI begins to learn patterns, simulate trade-offs, and even generate solutions, many assume that consulting itself will be disrupted — that knowledge and frameworks will be automated. That assumption misses the essence of advisory work.

> *AI can replicate knowledge. But consulting is about judgment — the ability to structure ambiguity, build alignment, and turn ideas into operational systems.*

The illusion of replaceability

Much of what appears as "consulting automation" is, in truth, **template reuse**: process documentation, benchmarking, data mapping. These layers will indeed be accelerated or replaced by AI.

But the **craft of consulting** — understanding friction between strategy, process, and technology — becomes even more valuable. Because as AI makes the "what" faster, it makes the "why" and "how" more decisive.

Process and technology merge again

My earlier point in *Don't Waste Your Talent* [Supply Chain Planning: From Variability to Orchestration | LinkedIn] described this shift — that human expertise must align with system design, ensuring people and technology evolve together: true transformation is not about systems or org charts, but about the **interface between people, process, and technology**.

That intersection is where the new consulting craft lives:

designing *decision loops* instead of process flows, defining *data semantics* instead of just interfaces, balancing *autonomy* and *control* through governance frameworks.

> *In a world of self-learning systems, consultants will not document processes — they will design how processes learn.*

Consulting Layers and Future Human Value

See Figure 4 · page 7

The consultant as orchestrator

Just like the planner, the consultant becomes an **orchestrator** — balancing technology, process, and human capability across client systems.

The tools may be AI-driven, but the role is timeless:

to interpret technology through business sense, to design learning systems, and to guide organizations toward clarity when automation amplifies complexity.

> *The future consultant will not be replaced by AI — but by those who know how to work with it. The craft will shift from solving problems to **designing systems that solve problems continuously.** And that is where process mastery and technological understanding will again become one profession.*

Figure 1

Common Claim	Reality
“AI doesn’t need clean data.”	Machine Learning *requires* structured, consistent data to learn patterns. LLMs can interpret text, but they **can’t** optimize a network.
“Processes are obsolete.”	Without defined loops and ownership, AI can’t know when to act or stop. Closed-loop governance becomes **more**, not less, critical.
“Humans will only supervise.”	True, but supervision without domain understanding quickly becomes blind trust. Expertise must shift, not vanish.

Back to text · page 2

Figure 2

Architecture	Strength	Weakness / Risk	AI-Readiness Impact
Uniform / federated data model (single ontology or graph across ERP, planning, MES)	High consistency, low latency; ideal for schema-on-read AI orchestration	Requires strict governance, semantic discipline	Enables real-time learning loops and global optimization
Fragmented data silos (separate data schemas per function)	Local flexibility, legacy compatibility	High latency, translation errors, duplicated master data	AI limited to local optimizations, no end-to-end calibration

Back to text · page 2

Figure 3

Platform	Core Model Approach	AI Integration Maturity	Comment
o9	Enterprise Knowledge Graph (semantic layer across functions)	High	Strong foundation for AI-driven orchestration; architecture closest to schema-on-read.
OMP	Unified but rule-driven data model	Medium	Very deterministic, strong in integration logic; less open for external AI agents.
Kinaxis RapidResponse	Memory-based in-memory data fabric	Medium-High	Excellent speed for simulation, but still APS-centric; AI layer emerging (Maestro).
SAP IBP / S/4HANA	Schema-on-write (rigid master-data structures)	Low-Medium	Deep integration into ERP; slow evolution toward AI due to latency and schema constraints.

Back to text · page 2

Figure 4

Consulting layer	AI influence	Future value of human skill
Data & content retrieval	Fully automatable (AI can aggregate, summarize, benchmark)	↓ replaced
Analysis & scenario modeling	Semi-automatable (AI accelerates, humans interpret)	↔ hybrid
Process & architecture design	Requires deep contextual understanding	↑ growing
Change navigation & alignment	Irreplaceable; relies on empathy, trust, and framing	↑↑ critical
Ethical, political, and organizational steering	Beyond machine scope	↑↑↑ defining

Back to text · page 3