AI & PLANNING SYSTEMS

Self-Healing Supply Chains? Let's Talk About the Real First Aid Behind the Scenes

Christian Kroschl · 11 August 2025

Article on kroschl.com · Read on LinkedIn

Executive Summary: *AI showcases and vendor demos portray supply chains as increasingly autonomous and self-healing. But beneath the polished slides and platform promises, the reality is stark: most planning transformations fail quietly in execution. What's labeled as "AI-enabled" is often held together by planners patching broken processes with Excel, intuition, and outdated designs. This article exposes the illusion of progress, surfaces leadership blind spots, and outlines what must truly change to make AI meaningful in supply chain planning.*

1. The Illusion: Self-Healing, Autonomous, AI-Driven Agentic AI. Self-healing networks. Lights-out planning.

These are the headlines of modern supply chain ambition. But behind the vision is a simple truth: most planning environments can't even sustain basic feedback loops—let alone autonomy.

What we hear at conferences sounds like science fiction. What we see on the ground is firefighting.

2. The Reality: Healing Is Still Manual Supply chains don't run on architecture diagrams. They run on execution. And execution today is still stabilized manually:

Frozen forecasts to avoid system-induced chaos Daily overrides by planners who trust their gut more than the system Excel uploads filling gaps in "integrated" platforms Parameter changes driven by experience, not automation

The only thing self-healing here is human ingenuity—keeping fragile plans alive with duct tape and instinct.

3. The Conference Fallacy Many planning transformations are considered "delivered" because the system is live, the decks look good, and the budget is consumed.

But behind the curtain:

Systems are bypassed or misused Forecasts are frozen and ignored Execution decisions are disconnected from upstream logic Designs still reflect siloed thinking from 20 years ago

Then come the case studies, the keynotes, the stage-managed success stories—fueling another cycle of technology-led hope with little operational truth.

4. What's Broken? Outdated Designs & No Parameter Logic You can't heal what was never designed to adapt. Legacy planning models remain rigid: forecast upstream, plan in time buckets, execute blindly. What's missing? Ranges to absorb variability Dynamic parameters to reflect change Structured feedback to close the loop

Without this, AI becomes absurd: re-planning daily, shifting volumes, rescheduling orders—automating noise, not decisions. This isn't intelligence. It's accelerated instability.

5. The Dilemma We Don't Talk About Executives know many transformations underdeliver—but no

one says it. Why? The project was politically expensive The vendor roadmap promised success The leadership team needs a win So we redefine success as go-live, not value. And we stop asking: *Does this system actually support better decisions?*

As long as planning lives nowhere—and everywhere—it will remain fragmented.

6. So What Needs to Change? The first step is unlearning the assumption that process design is fixed.

Think different. Don't repeat the architectures that never worked—just because they've been rebranded with cloud, AI, or "best practice."

Instead:

Build closed-loop models with embedded feedback Embrace range-based, parameter-driven design Align planning logic with execution reality

Planning must become adaptive. Structured. Executable. Only then does AI move from slideware to substance.

7. The Real Question Before asking what AI can do for planning—ask what planning must become to make AI meaningful. Because self-healing starts with sensing. With recalibration. With systemic clarity. And most of all: with the courage to stop pretending that what never worked is still worth repeating.